

### **REMARKS**

Claims 1-5, and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as allegedly anticipated by *Olrig* U.S. Patent 6,038,680. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as allegedly unpatentable in view of *Olrig* over *Ohran* Patent Application Publication 2002/0099916. Claims 7-14 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent from including all of limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

In reply to the Office Action of Jun. 22, 2007, Applicant amends independent claim 1 to include all of the limitations of claims 2, 3, and allowable claim 7. Accordingly, claim 1 is now in condition for allowance.

Dependent claims 4-6 and 8-14 are believed to be allowable for at least the reason that these claims depend from allowable independent claim 1. *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 U.S.P.Q.2d 1596, 1600 (Fed. Cir. 1988).

### **CONCLUSION**

In light of the foregoing amendments and for at least the reasons set forth above, Applicant respectfully submits that all objections and/or rejections have been traversed, rendered moot, and/or accommodated. Favorable reconsideration and allowance of the present application and all pending claims are hereby courteously requested.

If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,

/Daniel R. McClure/

By:

\_\_\_\_\_  
Daniel R. McClure  
Registration No. 38,962

**Thomas, Kayden, Horstemeyer & Risley, LLP**  
100 Galleria Pkwy, NW  
Suite 1750  
Atlanta, GA 30339  
770-933-9500